The Prevalence and Types of Childhood Vaccination Administration Errors

Abdullah Al Saleh

King Abdulaziz medical city, National Guard Health Affairs, Department of Family Medicine and Primary Care, PO Box 22490, Riyadh 11426 Saudi Arabia

Abstract:

Background: Vaccination administration errors are preventable events that can affect patients via inadequate immunological protection, injury, increased costs, inconvenience, and reduced confidence in the healthcare delivery system.

Objective: To calculate the prevalence of vaccination errors, determine which types of errors are most common, and identify opportunities for prevention.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted at the National Guard Comprehensive Specialized Clinic in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The study population consisted of 2580 children who received routine vaccinations at the Well Baby Clinic. A checklist was used to collect data regarding vaccination administration errors.

Results: The prevalence of vaccination administration errors was 0.57%. The most common vaccination errors were vaccine dosing errors, administration of the wrong vaccine, and incorrect vaccination intervals.

Conclusions: Vaccine administration errors are uncommon; however, the impact of vaccination errors on the health of individuals and the population can be severe. Prevention strategies to avoid these errors should be considered.

Keywords: vaccination errors, error prevalence, vaccine safety, patient safety

Background: Vaccination administration errors can lead to inadequate immunological protection, possible injury, increased cost, inconvenience, and reduced confidence in vaccination specifically and the healthcare delivery system in general. These errors occur across the globe yet are entirely preventable.

Objective: To calculate the prevalence of vaccination errors, determine which types of errors are most common, and identify opportunities for prevention.

Method: A cross-sectional study was conducted at the National Guard Comprehensive Specialized Clinic in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Data were collected from 2580 children who received routine vaccinations at the Well Baby Clinic over six months. An eight-item checklist was used to collect information regarding vaccination administration errors.

Results: The prevalence of vaccination administration errors was (0.57%). The most common vaccination errors were an incorrect vaccine dose, incorrect vaccine order, and incorrect vaccination interval.

Conclusions: Vaccine administration errors are uncommon; however, the negative impact of vaccination errors on the individual and public health levels cannot be overlooked. Straightforward prevention measures should be implemented to avoid these errors and their downstream consequences.

Keywords: Vaccination errors, Error prevalence, Vaccine safety, Patient safety

1. Introduction

Vaccination administration errors are an important preventable public health concern [1]. Vaccination errors can cause patient harm, inconvenience related to patient recall, increased costs, wasted time and vaccine, and skepticism regarding vaccines specifically and the health care system in general [2-4]. Most importantly, these errors can reduce or eliminate the immunological protection conferred by vaccination. On a large scale, this compromises both patient care and the public protection conferred by herd immunity against infectious diseases [5]; therefore, minimizing vaccination errors is paramount in maintaining human health and well-being [6]. The few studies dedicated specifically to vaccination errors indicate that the most commonly reported errors involve administering the wrong vaccine or incorrect dose [7]. Other errors including extra immunization [8, 9]; improper spacing between vaccine doses [10]; improper administration route [11, 12]; expired [13] or improperly stored vaccines [14]; wrong vaccine administered [15]; and contraindication errors [16]. Factors that contribute to these errors include vaccine names and abbreviations that sound alike and similar packaging [17, 18]. The increasing complexity of provincial immunization schedules and the ever-expanding array of available vaccine products, if left unchecked, could potentially compound the number of vaccine errors going forward [19].

This study aimed to calculate the prevalence rate of vaccination administration errors between January 2020 and June 2020, determine what types of errors occurred during this period, and identify opportunities for prevention.

2. Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted at the National Guard Comprehensive Specialized Clinic in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The study population comprised children (aged 2 months to 6 years old) who received routine recommended vaccinations (Table 1) at the Well Baby Clinic. The study period was from January 1, 2020 to June 30, 2020.

To prevent vaccination administration errors, the clinic adopted the following practices: the implementation of an electronic system that issues alerts in response to incorrect orders, the requirement for two nurses to attend every vaccination to ensure proper administration of the correct vaccine, and the avoidance of verbal communication for vaccination orders to prevent confusion between similar-sounding names or acronyms.

A checklist of eight vaccination administration errors was used to collect data. The checklist items were: wrong age, wrong interval, incorrect dose, wrong route, wrong vaccine, wrong patient, expired vaccine, and storage and dispensing error. In addition, the checklist also collected information regarding the age of the patient and the source of error (i.e., physician or nurse). The checklist was completed by the nurse in charge of the vaccination. The data used to quantify and analyze vaccination administration errors were obtained from this checklist. The analysis included types and numbers of vaccination administration errors, ages of the children affected, and sources of the errors (i.e., committed by a physician or nurse).

3. Results

During the six-month study period, 2580 children received 7858 doses of different vaccines according to the recommended national vaccination schedule (Table 1). Regarding the age distribution of these children, 359 (13.9%) were 2 months old, 348 (13.5%) were 4 months old, 379 (14.6%) were 6 months old, 353 (13.7%) were 9 months old, 368 (14.3%) were 1 year old, 253 (9.8%) were 18 months old, 348 (13.5%) were 24 months old, and 172 (6.7%) were between 4 and 6 years old (Table 2).

In total, 45 vaccine administration errors were recorded throughout the six-month study period. Therefore, the vaccination error prevalence rate was 0.57%. Twenty-six of these errors (57.8%) were related to dose, 11 (24.4%) were due to an incorrect vaccine order, and 8 (17.8%) were caused by incorrect interval timing in a multi-dose vaccine series (Table 3). No other types of vaccination administration errors were reported. Vaccination administration errors occurred most frequently with the four-month vaccinations (35.5%), followed by the eighteen-month vaccinations (20%) (Table 2).

Table 1: Schedule of children vaccination in Saudi Arabia

At Birth	Hep B
2 months	IPV, DTaP, Hepatities B, Hib, PCV13, Rota
4 months	IPV, DTaP, Hepatities B, Hib, PCV13, Rota
6 months	BCG, IPV, DTaP, Hepatities B, Hib, PCV13
9 months	Measles, MCV4
12 months	OPV, MMR, PCV13, MCV4
18 months	OPV,DTaP, Hib, MMR,Varicella,Hepatities A
24 months	Hepatities A
4-6 years	OPV,DTap, MMR, Varicella

Table 2: Age distribution and number of vaccination error




Table 3: Vaccination administration error types

Vaccination administration error	Number (% total errors)
Incorrect dose	26 (0.33%)
Wrong vaccine	11 (0.14%)
Wrong interval	8 (0.1%)
Wrong route	0
Wrong age / inappropriate schedule	0
Wrong patient	0
Expired vaccine	0
Storage and dispensing	0
Total errors	45 (0.57%)

4. Discussion

Every year, hundreds of millions of vaccine doses are administered globally; however, vaccination administration errors are rarely reported or discussed. The consequences of vaccination errors occur on multiple levels: epidemiological (e.g., lack of immunization and possible propagation of an epidemic), individual (e.g., excessive vaccination with no benefit to the patient, adverse effects), and financial [20]. Another potential consequence is fueling the rise of vaccine skepticism and refusal, especially among parents of young children.

The clinical site investigated in this study had a low vaccine administration error rate of 0.57%. This aligns well with previous studies that reported prevalence rates of 4% [21] and 0.15% [22]. Incorrect dosing was the most common error committed (58.9%). Almost all of these errors were related to the Rota vaccine, likely because the two different brands of this vaccine (Rotarix and Rotateq) are administered at different doses. Since Rotarix became unavailable (out of stock) 4 months into this study, Rotateq took its place for the final two months of the study. Physicians were informed in a memorandum of the Rota vaccine brand change and the new recommended dose, however, some continued to order the old Rotarix dose for the new Rotateq vaccine.

Incorrect vaccine was the second most common vaccination administration error (23.5%). Many of these errors involved vaccines with similar antigen types approved for different age groups [21]; for example, tetanus-containing vaccines (e.g., Td, Tdap, DTaP, DT). Vaccines with different age-based formulations are more frequently associated with administration errors than those with a single dosage recommended for all ages [23].

The third vaccination administration error was incorrectly timing a multi-dose vaccine series (17.6%). These interval errors all related to the second dose of the hepatitis A vaccine being administered before the minimum recommended spacing interval had elapsed. Dose intervals are necessary to ensure maximum, long-term protection; therefore, this error could result in an insufficient immune response and require revaccination.

No other vaccination administration errors were reported in the clinic for many reasons including no verbal vaccination order are allowed in the clinic (due to similar-sounding names or acronyms), the presence of an electronic alarm for any wrongly submitted orders, availability of two nurses in the vaccination room (to review the vaccination orders before they administer the vaccines, and regularly monitor storage conditions and expirations dates).

These findings suggest that interventions to reduce vaccination errors should include thorough training and regular continuing education sessions for all medical staff that cover vaccination schedules, dosages, minimum intervals required between vaccines, any age-based administration differences, and changes to standard procedures, as they arise. No verbal orders for vaccines should be allowed to avoid confusion between similar-sounding vaccine products, and vaccine labeling and storage practices should be improved. A greater understanding of the factors that contribute to vaccination errors enables preventing them, thereby mitigating the risks of patient harm and impaired public confidence in vaccination.

5. Conclusion

Vaccine administration errors are uncommon; however, the negative impact of vaccination errors at the individual and public health levels cannot be overlooked. These vaccination administration errors are more likely to occur with the presence of different brands of the vaccine at different doses, vaccines with similar antigen types approved for dosing in different age groups, and, lastly, errors in minimum interval timing in a multi-dose vaccine series. Potential strategies for reducing vaccination errors include (1) educating and training providers on vaccine timing, dosing, and spacing, (2) facilitating improvements in differentiating between vaccines with similar-sounding names and acronyms, (3) providing training on proper administration techniques, (4) improving monitoring of vaccine storage temperatures, and (5) increasing awareness and establishment of procedures to monitor vaccine expiration.

6. References

1. Beth F. Hibbs∗, Pedro L. Moro. Vaccination errors reported to the Vaccine Adverse Event ReportingSystem, (VAERS) United States, 2000–2013. Vaccine 33 (2015) 3171–3178
2. Hibbs B, et al. Vaccination errors reported to the Vaccine. Adverse Event Reporting System, (VAERS) United States, 2000-2013. Vaccine 2015; 33(28): 3171-8.
3. LA Country Department of Public Health. Rx for Prevention – Preventing Common Vaccination Administration Errors [Internet]. 2010 (cited 2015 Oct 23)
4. Immunization Action Coalition. To Err Is Human; Not To Err Is Better! – Vaccination Errors and How to Prevent Them [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2015 Oct 23]. Institute of Safe Medication Practices. Recommendations For Practitioners And Manufacturers To Address System-Based Causes Of Vaccine Errors. Newsletters - ISMP Medication Safety Alert! Newsletters [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2015
5. Institute of Safe Medication Practices. Recommendations For Practitioners And Manufacturers To Address System-Based Causes Of Vaccine Errors. Newsletters - ISMP Medication Safety Alert! Newsletters [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2015
6. Barret E.A. Barr, Certina Ho. Vaccine Medication Incidents in the Community. Page 22 ~ winter 2016 ~ pharmacy connection.
7. Bundy DG, Shore AD, Morlock LL, et al. Pediatric vaccination errors: applica-tion of the 5 rights framework to a national error reporting database. Vaccine2009;27(29):3890–6.
8. Feikema SM, Klevens RM, Washington ML, et al. Extraimmunization among USchildren. JAMA 2000;283(Mar (10)):1311–7.
9. Hall SN, Sewell EC, Jacobson SH. Maximizing the effectiveness of a pediatricvaccine formulary while prohibiting extra immunization. Health Care ManageSci 2008;11(Dec (4)):339–52.

10. Haas-Gehres A, Sebastian S, Lamberjack K. Impact of pharmacist integration ina pediatric primary care clinic on vaccination errors: a retrospective review. JAm Pharm Assoc 2014;54(4):415–8.
11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Inadvertent misadministration ofmeningococcal conjugate vaccine—United States, June–August 2005. MMWRMorb Mortal Wkly Rep 2006;55(37):1016–7.
12. Hibbs BF, Miller ER, Shimabukuro T, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.Notes from the field: rotavirus vaccine administration errors—United States,2006–2013. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2014;63(4):81.
13. Haber P, Schembri CP, Lewis P, et al. Notes from the field: reports of expired liveattenuated influenza vaccine being administered—United States, 2007–2014.MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2014;63(35):773.
14. Bell KN, Hogue CJR, Manning C, Kendal AP. Risk factors for impropervaccine storage and handling in private provider offices. Pediatrics 2001;107(6):e100.
15. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Notice to readers: inadvertentintradermal administration of tetanus toxoid-containing vaccines instead oftuberculosis skin tests. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2004;53(29):662–4.
16. Wise RP, Braun MM, Seward JF, et al. Pharmacoepidemiologic implications oferroneous varicella vaccinations in pregnancy through confusing with varicellazoster immune globulin. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2002;11(8):651–4.
17. World Health Organization. Prevention of medication errors: vaccines. WHODrug Inf 1996;10(3):127.
18. Grabenstein JD, Cohen MR. Preventing medication errors with immunologicdrugs. In: Cohen MR, American Pharmacists Association, editors. Medicationerrors. 2nd ed. Washington, DC: American Pharmacists Association; 2007. p.493–509.
19. Canadian Paediatric Society. Position Statement – A harmonized immunization schedule for Canada: A call to action. [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2015 Oct 23].
20. Feikema SM, Klevens RM, Washington ML, Barker L. Extraimmunisation among US children. J Am Med Assoc 2000; 283: 1311–7.
21. Lauren Reed, Beth A. Tarini. Vaccine administration error rates at a large academic medical center and its affiliated clinics – Familiarity matters. Vaccine 37 (2019) 5390–5396.
22. Jesse Morse-Brady, Ann Marie Hart. Prevalence and types of vaccination errors from 2009 to 2018: A systematic review of the medical literature. Vaccine 38 (2020) 1623–1629
23. Jesse Morse-Brady, Ann Marie Hart. Prevalence and types of vaccination errors from 2009 to 2018: A systematic review of the medical literature. Vaccine 38 (2020) 1623–1629